```
1
1
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                           DISTRICT OF NEVADA
2
          BEFORE THE HONORABLE LARRY R. HICKS, DISTRICT JUDGE
3
 4
     ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado
     corporation; ORACLE AMERICA,
5
     INC., a Delaware corporation;
     and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL
                                      : No. 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL
 6
     CORPORATION, a California
     corporation,
7
             Plaintiffs,
8
          vs.
 9
     RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada
10
     corporation; and SETH RAVIN,
     an individual,
11
             Defendants.
12
13
14
          TRANSCRIPT OF CALENDAR CALL AND PRETRIAL CONFERENCE
15
16
17
                             August 26, 2015
18
                              Reno, Nevada
19
20
21
22
      Court Reporter:
                              Donna Davidson, RDR, CRR, CCR 318
23
                              Certified Realtime Reporter
                              400 South Virginia Street
24
                              Reno, Nevada 89501
                              (775) 329-0132
25
```

```
2
1
                          APPEARANCES
2
      FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
      BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
3
      KIERAN P. RINGGENBERG
 4
      1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900
      Oakland, California 94612
5
      (510) 874-1000
      Fax: (510) 874-1460
 6
      kringgenberg@bsfllp.com
7
      BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
      RICHARD J. POCKER
8
      300 South Fourth Street, Suite 800
      Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
 9
      (702) 382-7300
      Fax: (702) 382-2755
10
      rpocker@bsfllp.com
11
      BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
      WILLIAM A. ISAACSON
12
      KAREN L. DUNN (By telephone)
      5301 Wisconsin Avenue, NW
13
      Washington, DC
                     20015
      (202) 237-2727
      Fax: (202) 237-6131
14
      wisaacson@bsfllp.com
15
      kdunn@bsfllp.com
16
      MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
      THOMAS S. HIXSON
17
      NITIN JINDAL
      One Market, Spear Street Tower
      San Francisco, California 94105
18
      (415) 442-1000
      Fax:
19
            (415) 442-1001
      thomas.hixson@morganlewis.com
20
      nitin.jindal@morganlewis.com
21
      JAMES C. MAROULIS
22
      Oracle Corporation
      500 Oracle Parkway
23
      Redwood City, California 94070
      (650) 506-4846
24
      jim.maroulis@oracle.com
25
```

```
3
1
                   A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued)
2
      FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
3
      SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP
      PETER E. STRAND
 4
      B. TRENT WEBB
      RYAN D. DYKAL
5
      2555 Grand Boulevard
      Kansas City, Missouri 64108
 6
      (816) 474-6550
7
      Fax: (816) 421-5547
      pstrand@shb.com
8
      bwebb@shb.com
      rdykal@shb.com
9
      SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP
      ROBERT H. RECKERS
10
      600 Travis Street, Suite 3400
11
      Houston, Texas 77002
      (713) 227-8008
      Fax: (713) 227-9508
12
      rreckers@shb.com
13
      LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER LLP
14
      W. WEST ALLEN
      3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
      Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
15
      (702) 949-8230
16
      Fax: (702) 949-8364
      wallen@lrrlaw.com
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	lack 4
1	RENO, NEVADA, AUGUST 26, 2015, 10:10 A.M.
2	000
3	PROCEEDINGS
4	
5	THE COURT: Good morning. Have a seat, please.
6	COURTROOM ADMINISTRATOR: Today is the date and
7	time for a pretrial status conference and calendar call in
8	civil case 2:10-cv-106-LRH-PAL, Oracle USA, Inc., and
9	others, versus Rimini Street, Inc., and others.
10	Counsel, can you please state your appearances
11	for the record.
12	MR. ISSACSON: Bill Issacson, Your Honor, Boies,
13	Schiller & Flexner, for Plaintiff Oracle.
14	MR. RINGGENBERG: Kiernan Ringgenberg, Boies,
15	Schiller & Flexner, for Plaintiff Oracle.
16	MR. HIXSON: Tom Hixson, with Morgan Lewis, for
17	Plaintiff Oracle.
18	MR. POCKER: Rick Pocker, Boies, Schiller &
19	Flexner, for Plaintiff Oracle.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Welcome to all of you.
21	MR. WEBB: Good morning. Trent Webb, with
22	Shook, Hardy & Bacon, on behalf of the defendants, Your
23	Honor.
24	MR. RECKERS: Rob Reckers, Shook, Hardy & Bacon,
25	for the defendants, as well, Your Honor.

```
1
                MR. STRAND:
                             Good morning, Your Honor. Peter
2
     Strand, Shook, Hardy & Bacon, on behalf of defendants.
                MR. DYKAL: Good morning, Your Honor. Ryan
3
     Dykal, Shook, Hardy & Bacon, on behalf of defendants.
 4
                MR. ALLEN: Your Honor, West Allen, from Lewis
 5
 6
     Roca Rothgerber, on behalf of defendants.
7
                THE COURT: All right. Welcome to all of you.
8
                And then telephonically do we have anyone
 9
     appearing?
10
                MR. MAROULIS: Yes, Your Honor. This is James
11
     Maroulis, from Oracle, for plaintiffs.
12
                THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
13
                MS. DUNN: Good morning, Your Honor. Karen
14
     Dunn, from Boies, Schiller & Flexner, representing Oracle.
                MR. JINDAL: Good morning, Your Honor. This is
15
16
     Nitin Jindal, from Morgan Lewis, representing Oracle.
17
                THE COURT: All right. And according to my
18
     notes here, we do not have any counsel for defendant
19
     telephonically; is that correct?
20
                MR. WEBB: That's correct, Your Honor.
21
                THE COURT: All right. Thank you. All right.
22
     This hearing has been scheduled for calendar call on our
23
     upcoming trial.
                Well, let me -- I'll cover some of the issues
24
25
     that I'm aware of that may be of interest.
```

First of all, I note that I do have orders pending on the motions in limine that have been filed. I think there's a total of six of them which are pending before me. And I expect that we'll have orders on most of those today or tomorrow and orders on the remaining one or two probably next week sometime.

I'd like also to confirm the trial schedule, which we've issued orders on before, but just to make sure that everyone's on the same page.

The Monday schedule will be from 1:00 to 5:00; the Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday schedule will be from 8:00 a.m. until 2:00 or later, if necessary; and the Friday schedule will be from 8:00 a.m. to approximately noon.

I don't believe -- I couldn't recall if we had discussed the number of jurors. But I had proposed to have nine jurors in the case.

As counsel are aware, we have to have six for a verdict. And when we have more than six a unanimous verdict is still required. But the Court was -- I'm of the opinion that we should have nine based on prior experience with these lengthier trials.

But if anyone has a problem with that, I certainly would hear from them.

I'm also proposing limitation on opening statements in this case of an hour. If either side has any

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

particular problem with that, I'm willing to hear from counsel as well.

Jury selection -- of course, my order regarding trial addressed proposed -- the submission of proposed questions to jurors. I think I had mentioned this to you in our last conference. But my practice on the voir dire examination is that I will be posing the questions.

And typically I've had success just following the outline of the questions that are submitted. undoubtedly be some that I may summarize or not ask verbatim, as proposed, but the subject matter, if it's appropriate, certainly will be addressed.

I also will offer sidebar conferences to counsel for follow-up questions to particular jurors. If counsel wish to have some follow-up that I have not conducted, I'll certainly hear from you at sidebar and consider following up on that.

Those were the immediate thoughts that I had that I wanted to make sure that you were aware of in this case.

So let me turn it over first to plaintiffs' counsel. Are there issues or developments that plaintiffs' counsel are concerned about or any particular responses to the matters I've just raised?

MR. ISSACSON: No responses to the matters you

just raised, Your Honor.

Just to bring you up to date, the parties are continuing to confer about any number of issues moving forward to the trial.

In terms of the estimated length of the trial, at the last conference I believe plaintiffs estimated that our case would take approximately -- would take 10 trial days. We think that -- and that's under your current schedule. We think that's still about right. If we're wrong, we're hoping it's going to be less than 10. And we're working to do that.

The defendants have estimated now five to seven trial days for their case. There's going to be some overlap in our cases because the plaintiff intends to call Seth Ravin as part of our case. And we've agreed that they can do their full direct of him and -- following the cross, without any objections as to scope.

So saying all that together, it sounds like a three-week trial to us.

THE COURT: All right. I appreciate that update.

And I would tell you that, and I think I indicated before, I move a trial along fairly quickly.

If there are issues that need to be ruled upon or which may require some time to argue, it's my policy to

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 do those either before we start court in the morning or 2 after the jury's been released in the afternoon.

Because we're starting at an early time with the jury, the 8:00 a.m. start is an early time, it's most likely that we would be scheduling any needed arguments after the jurors have been excused and into the afternoon session.

MR. ISSACSON: I had a number of questions about that from people who were wondering how early we would be rising. So thank you for telling us that.

We've also been conferring about any witness There are two additional witnesses from Rimini issues. that we have asked to call in our case. And they are questioning our ability to do that. We're continuing to confer about that. But it's possible that issue would come before the Court before trial.

In addition, there are some newly-added witnesses to the witness list. And we are conferring about that as to whether either side needs to bring that issue to court. But neither of those -- we are talking about those things, and neither of them are at loggerheads quite yet.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me comment that I appreciate that. And I -- I recognize the professionalism of counsel on both sides, in this case in particular.

And I would expect counsel to be able to resolve

witness issues. And if you can't, let me know, we'll deal
with that. But I certainly expect that of counsel.

MR. ISSACSON: And then with regards to trial exhibits, both sides are conferring obviously about objections.

We're also conferring about agreeing to a set of trial exhibits for which there'll be no objections and they can be preadmitted and can be immediately used, for example, in opening statements or the first witnesses without having to -- any formal admission.

And if the parties agree on that, which I think we will, we'll just be giving you a stipulation on that with the numbers.

THE COURT: All right. I would appreciate the stipulation. And, again, it's my policy to encourage that.

And I would allow the reference to exhibits and that they could be displayed during the course of opening statements. And I don't need to state the obvious, because I'm sure it won't be a problem, and that is that you really need your tech support people to be prepared and ready to go and present those.

One of the worst problems that can ever occur is when that process breaks down somehow in front of a jury.

And I don't need to give speeches.

MR. ISSACSON: Yes. There's more and more

pressure on the tech people these days.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. ISSACSON: Those were the issues I just wanted to acquaint you with that the parties have been discussing. I don't know if I missed something or the defendants want to discuss anything else we've been discussing.

MR. WEBB: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Webb.

MR. WEBB: Trent Webb. I think we've -- that covers the outstanding issues. We are hopeful that we will be able to resolve all of these things without involving Your Honor.

If, however, we do have an issue, is there a process that we could use to get some relatively quick guidance from Your Honor? Should we do some sort of a telephonic hearing or something -- my concern is that if we ever get to a problem where we can't agree on something, if we go through a briefing process, it may be a while before we have guidance, and we'd like to know as soon as possible, because we are preparing for a significant trial.

Is there some process that you would like us to follow if we do have one of those disputes?

THE COURT: Well, first of all, if it arises during trial, I'm available for sidebar conferences. And

every case is different. But I think that we would be able to identify from the comments at sidebar, which should not take long, whether it need be something that would be addressed later in the day, after the jury has been dismissed, or not.

As to issues which may arise prior to trial, I will tell you, you're looking at my trial team here in the courtroom. And my court clerk, Dionna Negrete, is very familiar with issues that arise and bringing them to my attention. So I would say she's your go-to person if you have an issue that you sense is brewing and you need to have some time before me.

And my schedule is -- it's a little jammed, but we would fit things in, I'm sure.

MR. WEBB: Thank you, Your Honor. Just two more issues.

One is instructions. I know we've been working back and forth on trying to do that. Is there a deadline that we -- I understand the deadline may have moved to September 4th -- September 9th.

THE COURT: The order regarding trial, I think, addressed that. And it should have -- as I recall, it was Wednesday, September 9th.

MR. WEBB: Okay. And then the other issue is we have discussed among the lawyers the possibility of a jury

questionnaire that could, at least conceivably, be given to the prospective jurors in advance of trial which would allow us to have some feedback, some information before we go through voir dire.

Is there a process that Your Honor would like us to follow? Is that something we can do?

THE COURT: Well, essentially where we are is

I'm advised that notices have gone out and responses have

been received from a total of 200 prospective jurors who

are available. Out of that, we probably would -- we're

probably dealing with a number of 100. We just have other

people if we had to somehow resort to that.

As to a questionnaire itself, if you can agree on one and get it to me -- I'm thinking of the Court's schedule -- within the next week -- Dionna, do you have a thought on that? You've been speaking with the jury commissioner down there.

COURTROOM ADMINISTRATOR: Well, the questionnaires were sent on August 10th as to their availability and all of that.

I'm not sure how -- I mean, she would have to give them to them that morning probably.

THE COURT: The likelihood is is that we would have to present them to the prospective jurors when they came in on our commencement date, which is Monday the 14th.

```
1
                But if you had an agreed upon list of questions
2
     and we could -- I'm thinking of my schedule. I could
     review that and consider it in the latter half of next
3
     week, which is only 10 days or so before trial. We --
     there -- we might be able to mail it out. But I -- that's
 5
     a big if.
 6
7
                MR. WEBB: Understood, Your Honor. We will do
8
     our best to try to agree upon something and get it to you
 9
     early next week. So we'll shoot for early next week, Your
10
     Honor.
11
                THE COURT: All right. That's acceptable.
12
                MR. WEBB: And I believe that that's all that we
13
     had.
           Thank you very much.
14
                THE COURT: All right. Okay.
15
                Mr. Issacson?
16
                MR. ISSACSON: I think the only other issue is
17
     there's the motion having to do with the designation of
18
     documents under the protective order.
                And if you want to hear about that, Mr. Hixson
19
20
     is prepared to address it.
21
                THE COURT: Okay. I am familiar with that.
22
     I've looked at it and considered it already. And, again, I
23
     expect I will have an order on that. I don't feel that I
24
     need argument on it at this time.
25
                               Thank you, Your Honor.
                MR. ISSACSON:
```

```
15
1
                THE COURT: All right. Are there any other
2
      questions?
3
                MR. WEBB: Not from defendants, Your Honor.
                MR. ISSACSON: Not from plaintiff, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: All right.
5
                All right, Counsel. Well, to the extent that we
 6
7
     can move this trial along, it's obviously appreciated by
8
     everyone. But I think you'll be able to try your cases.
 9
                All right. Thank you very much. I appreciate
      those who have personally attended this morning.
10
11
      appreciate those who are also present by telephone.
12
                But at this time, it appears our business is
13
     done, and the Court will be in recess.
                                              Thank you.
14
                COURTROOM ADMINISTRATOR: Please rise.
15
             (The proceedings concluded at 10:26 a.m.)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		16
1	-000-	
2	I certify that the foregoing is a correct	
3	transcript from the record of proceedings	
4	in the above-entitled matter.	
5		
6	Donna Davidsa 8/27/15	
7	Donna Davidson, RDR, CRR, CCR #318 Date	
8	Official Reporter	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		